IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 19096 of 2004

Between:

1. Dr. N. Sailaja, W/o. B. Mohan Reddy, 38 years,
   r/o. 10-137/2, Nehru Bazar, Piler, Chittoor District.
2 Dr. K. Sudha Rani, W/o. P. Manoj Kumar, 32 years,
   5/362, Seetharamaraju Street, Kurnool road, Ongole, Prakasam District
3 Dr. B. Jayasankar, S. Munirathnam, 35 years,
   20-1449/2, Maruthinagar, Korlagunta, Tirupathi.
4 Dr. B. Pushpalatha, D/o. Chengalarayulu, 38 years
   c/o. 327, Nethaji Road, Tirupathi.

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, rep by its Executive Officer, K.T. Road, Tirupathi.
2 The Devasthanam Educational Officer, Tirumalal Tirupathi Devasthanam, K.T. Road, Tirupathi.
3 The Principal, S.V. Ayurvedic College, SVIMS Road, Tirupathi.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the memo dated 11-10-2004 of the 3rd Respondent in ROC No. D6/13053/DEO/2002 as being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently set aside the same.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.D.SESHADRI NAIDU

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.A.RAMALINGESWAR RAO

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioners are contending that they applied for the contract lecturers/Medical Officers posts in pursuance of a notification dated 24.09.2004 for appointment in the third respondent institute. The petitioners were selected at serial Nos.9, 7, 12 and 1 respectively and they joined on 08.10.2004, but the second respondent issued a memo on 11.10.2004 mentioning that the selection made for contract lecturers/Medical Officers on 06.10.2004 to the third respondent college stands cancelled due to administrative reasons as per the oral instructions of the Executive Officer of the first respondent-Devastanam. Though the petitioners contended that they joined on 08.10.2004, they did not place any material as to when they were communicated and when they were directed to report to duty and to whom they gave the joining report. In the absence of such material, the mere selection of the petitioners for the lecturers posts does not vest with them any right to agitate in a Court of law. The petitioners therefore cannot manage this petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

03.11.2004.

MRKR

To

1.The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, K.T.Road, Tirupathi.

2.The Devasthanam Educational Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, K.T.Road, Tirupathi.

3.The Principal, S.V.Ayurvedic College, SVIMS Road,Tirupathi.

4.Two C.D. copies.